THERMAL ANALYSIS, CONDUCTIVITY AND ELECTROCHEMICAL APPLICATIONS OF PHENYLACETYLENE AND BENZYLPROPARGYLAMINE POLYMERS. PART 3

M. TOMASSETTI *, L. CAMPANELLA *, F. MAZZEI, M. CORDATORE and M. PASINI

Department of Chemistry, University of Rome, "La Sapienza", Piazza A. Moro, 5, 00185 - Rome (Italy)

(Received 4 November 1988)

ABSTRACT

Benzylpropargylamine and phenylacetylene polymers were analysed using thermal analysis techniques (TG, DTG and DSC), those of phenylacetylene both undoped and doped with iodine or $FeCl_3$. Conductivity was also measured: the polymers with the best properties, from this point of view, were also added to PVC membranes, using which ion selective and enzyme electrodes were prepared and characterized.

INTRODUCTION

In two earlier publications [1,2], we examined and discussed the thermoanalytical and conductivity properties of doped and undoped polymers of diethynylbenzene and diethynylpyridine. This study demonstrated that TG and DSC analysis results were particularly useful not only for the characterization of the polymers, but also for the correct interpretation of the conductivity data, which are of great importance in order to establish their most suitable analytical application, such as the preparation of membranes for new ion selective electrodes [2-4]. The validity and utility of the information obtained in the previous studies persuaded us to extend the same research to study other interesting conductor polymers [5,6], i.e. those of polybenzylpropargylamine and of polyphenylacetylene (Fig. 1), the latter both in doped and undoped form.

In addition, analogously to our previous studies [2], a potentiometric PVC polymeric membrane sensor, containing doped polyphenylacetylene, was prepared and characterized for its response to some common ions such as H_3O^+ , K^+ , NH_4^+ , Ba^{2+} and Al^{3+} . Another electrode, containing in its

^{*} Authors to whom all correspondence should be addressed.



Fig. 1. (a) polyphenylacetylene, (b) polybenzylpropargylamine.

membrane undoped polybenzylpropargylamine, was tested as a sensor of some common anions, such as OH^- , Cl^- , NO_3^- , SO_4^{2-} and PO_4^{3-} . Moreover the response of these ion-selective electrodes (ISEs) was modified by the addition of a biological mediator, the urease enzyme. The analytical results characterizing all these sensors are summarized in this paper.

EXPERIMENTAL

Reagents

PVC (polyvinylchloride) and bis(2-ethylhexyl)sebacate, used in the polymeric membrane preparation, were supplied by Fluka (Switzerland) and urease, E.C. 3.5.1.5, by Sigma, St. Louis, MO (U.S.A.); Polyazetidine prepolymer solution (PAP) (Hercules polycup 172.12% solids in H_2O) was purchased from Hercules Inc. (Wilmington, Del. U.S.A.); phenylacetylene and benzylpropargylamine monomers were supplied by Aldrich Chemical, Milan (Italy); all other organic solvents and reagents of analytical grade were from Merck Darmstadt (F.R.G.).

Polymers

Polybenzylpropargylamine (PBPA) and polyphenylacetylene (PPA) were prepared, the latter also in the doped form, using procedures reported in the literature [7,8]. Briefly, PPA was obtained from the reaction in hot refluxing conditions of the phenylacetylene monomer in CH₃OH, containing 0.2 mol 1^{-1} of NaOH and chloro(1,5-cyclooctadiene)rhodium(I) dimer as catalyst. After addition of the methanol, the polymer was filtered off and dried. After dissolution in tetrahydrofuran, the solution was filtered and the polymer reprecipitated from the solution by adding methanol; the polymer was then washed with hexane/methanol (1:1) mixture, and finally vacuum dried. Polybenzylpropargylamine (PBPA) was obtained by adding a small volume of 0.1 mol 1^{-1} NaOH solution in CH₃OH to the monomeric benzylpropargylamine, in the presence of the same catalyst. Chloroform was added to dissolve the residue and the polymer was precipitated from the solution obtained by adding *n*-hexane; after filtration, the polymer was separated and washed with *n*-hexane, and then vacuum dried.

Doping procedure

PPA was obtained in the iodine-doped form by dissolving the polymer in tetrahydrofuran and adding, to the solution, an amount of iodine corresponding to three times the weight of the polymer. After about 12 h, the solvent was evaporated under vacuum at room temperature and the doped polymer obtained. The FeCl₃-doped form of PPA was obtained by an analogous procedure, by adding to the tetrahydrofuran solution containing the polymer an amount of FeCl₃ \cdot 6H₂O corresponding to 1.5 times the weight of the polymer.

Polymeric membrane preparation and ISEs or enzyme electrode assembly

The assembly of the polymeric (PVC) and sebacate membrane electrodes, containing 5% of doped or undoped conductor polymer, was performed using the same procedure adopted in previous research [4,9,10]. The electrochemical cell and internal Ag/AgCl reference electrode were also analogous to those described elsewhere [9,10], as was the external calomel reference electrode.

The response of these ISEs was then modified by operating in the presence of urease. With the ion-selective electrode based on a membrane containing PPA doped with iodine, the enzyme urease was free in solution, whereas it was immobilized in the PVC membrane in the case of the ISE modified by addition of PBPA to the PVC membrane. In this last case the enzymatic membrane, with immobilized urease, was prepared in the same way as was reported in a previous paper [2], by using polyazetidine prepolymer for the enzyme immobilization on the PVC membrane containing the conducting polymer. The assembly of the studied enzyme sensors was also carried out as previously described in detail [2,11].

TG and DSC analysis

The TG, DTG and DSC curves of the doped polymers were obtained using a Mettler TC 50 thermobalance and a Mettler DSC 20, coupled with a Mettler TC 10A-TA processor system and a Swiss dot-matrix printer. The atmosphere was an air stream with a flow rate of 100 ml min⁻¹; the heating rate used was 10° C min⁻¹ for both the TG and DSC experiments.

Apparatus for potentiometric or enzymatic measurements

Potentiometric measurements were carried out on an Orion research potentiometer microprocessor ionalyzer model 901 and a Radiometer REC 61 Servograph recorder. An automatic burette (Scott-Gerate TA 50), coupled to the potentiometer-recorder system and a saturated calomel reference electrode were also used to obtain the calibration curve. The solutions to be analysed were magnetically stirred and thermostated at 20°C. In the potentiometric measurements for the calibration line, the initial volume was 25.0 ml.

The enzymatic measurements were carried out using an analogous procedure; however, the medium used was $0.02 \text{ mol } 1^{-1}$ Tris buffer solution at pH 7.5.

Conductivity measurements

Conductivity measurements were carried out on a Keithley 169 Multimeter using a Teflon measurement cell with two gold disks of 2 cm diameter [2,12].

RESULTS

The TG and DTG curves of PPA and of PBPA between 20 and 900°C, in dynamic air (heating rate 10°C min⁻¹), are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. Table 1 summarizes the relative thermal data. In Figs. 4 and 5, the TG and DTG curves, recorded in the same experimental conditions, for PPA doped with iodine, or with iron(III) chloride, are reported. Table 2 shows the main thermic data corresponding to these TG curves. Figures 6 (a), (b), (c) and (d) shows the DSC curves, between 25 and 600°C, performed in the same dynamic air and heating rate conditions, for undoped PPA, for PBPA, for PPA doped with iodine and for PPA doped with FeCl₃, respectively. In Table 3, the conductivity values of all these polymers are reported, together with the values of the solvent residue, determined by TG analysis. In the case of PPA doped both with iodine and with FeCl₃, the variations in conductivity values are shown, along with the solvent residue left from the doping procedure. Table 3 also includes the iodine content of the PPA doped with iodine, determined by TG and, in the case of PPA doped with $FeCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$, the crystallization water and iron(III) content of the polymer, the latter calculated on the basis of the percentage Fe₂O₃ residue found using TG at 800°C and derived by IR spectrometry from the Fe³⁺-O stretching bands at 550 and 480 cm⁻¹.

Table 4 lists the main electroanalytical data obtained from the ion-selective electrode with the PVC membrane containing 5% PPA doped with



Fig. 2. TG and DTG curves of polyphenylacetylene in flowing air (100 ml min⁻¹) heating rate 10° C min⁻¹.

iodine, and also the data obtained from the ISE-enzyme sensor for urea, determined by modifying the response of the ISE with urease free in solution. Table 5 lists the main data obtained from the ion-selective electrode with the PVC membrane containing 5% PBPA and from the enzyme sensor for urea, obtained by modifying the response of the ISE with



Fig. 3. TG and DTG curves of polybenzylpropargylamine in flowing air (100 ml min⁻¹) heating rate $10 \,^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹.

TABLE 1

Thermal analysis of dried undoped polymers in an air stream (100 ml min⁻¹) at a heating rate of 10° C min⁻¹. The sign (+) or (-), before the found % values, indicates an increase or a loss of mass in the step respectively

Polymer	Solvent loss		First step ^a			Second ste	þ	
			(a)		(b)			
	found (%)	pdt ^b	found (%)	pdt ^b	found (%)	pdt ^b	found (%)	pdt ^b
Polyphenyl-	-0.6	24	+0.4	120	-63.5	190	- 36.2	490
acetylene		75		160		350		545
		110		1 9 0		490		620
Polybenzyl-	-0.8	25			- 37.4	150	- 58.8	445
propargyl-		100				310		520
amine		140				445		580

^a (a) and (b) are sub-steps.

^b pdt = procedural decomposition temperature.

immobilized urease. Polymeric ISEs, containing PPA doped with iron(III) chloride, have such a short lifetime that their development was not pursued.

DISCUSSION

The experimental conditions of the TG and DSC curves are the same as in previous papers [1,2] i.e. in flowing air instead of nitrogen in order to



Fig. 4. TG and DTG curves of polyphenylacetylene doped with iodine in flowing air (100 ml min⁻¹) heating rate $10 \,^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹.

2
ш
Ц
A)
<
H

Thermal analysis of doped polymers (polyphenylacetylene) in an air stream (100 ml min⁻¹) at a heating rate of 10° C min⁻¹. The sign (-) before the found π volues indicates a loss of mass in the step.

Doped polymer	Solvent and moisture loss		First step ^a				Second stcp ^a	_		
			(a)		(q)		(a)		(q)	
	found (%)	pdt ^b	found (%)	pdt ^b	found (%)	pdt ^b	found (%)	pdt ^b	(%) punoj	pdt ^b
Polyphenyl-	Solvent		Iodine							
doped with	- 0.6	24	- 58.6	4			- 10.8	306	- 29.2	496
iodine	•	30		130				420		560
		40		306				496		650
Polyphenyl- acetylene doned with	Solvent and moisture loss		Crystal- lization water loss		Crystal- lization water loss					
FeCI,	-1.5	24	- 5.5	75	-5.2	140	-41.0	210	-27.5	400
ŋ		38		120		160		350		420
		60		140		210		400		560
a (a) and (b) a	as only stone									

* (a) and (b) are sub-steps. ^b pdt = procedural decomposition temperature.



Fig. 5. TG and DTG curves of polyphenylacetylene doped with FeCl₃ in flowing air (100 ml min⁻¹), heating rate $10 \,^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹.

ensure complete decomposition of the polymer within the temperature range which can be scanned by the available thermal apparatus. The heating rate was 10° C min⁻¹; it was not necessary to operate with a lower heating rate, because the compounds under consideration do not decompose with excessive speed with a heating rate higher than 5° C min⁻¹ [1]. The thermal decomposition of the two undoped polymers, PPA and PBPA, which are practically anhydrous, begins in the range 150-190°C and is complete by 580-620°C. Table 1 shows essentially two main steps (see Figs. 2 and 3). However, in the case of PPA, after the loss of the last traces of solvent remaining from the synthesis ($\leq 0.6\%$) and immediately before the beginning of the first decomposition step at around 190°C, a weak but characteristic oxidative polymerization process can be observed; this is clear at slightly higher temperatures in the case of the polymers of diethynylbenzene and of 2,6-diethynylpyridine [1]. This step is also demonstrated by the corresponding small increase in the mass of the sample (see the DTG curve of Fig. 2) and by the weak exothermic transition in the DSC curve (Fig. 6 (a)). The thermal data for this transition are reported in Table 1 as a sub-step of the first step. An analogous process is not observed in the TG curve of PBPA.

The thermal degradation of PPA doped with iodine (Fig. 4) or with iron(III) chloride (Fig. 5) begins with a very different trend. After the loss of the solvent traces remaining from the doping procedure (only a little in the case of the iodine-doped polymer ($\leq 0.6\%$) and somewhat more (1.5%) in the case of the iron(III) chloride-doped polymer), it is possible to observe in the first case a large step corresponding to loss of iodine from the polymer and, in the second case, a step corresponding to typical loss of crystallization



Fig. 6. DSC curves of: (a) polyphenylacetylene, 2.44 mg; (b) polybenzylpropargylamine, 1.74 mg; (c) polyphenylacetylene doped with iodine, 1.90 mg, and (d) polyphenylacetylene doped with FeCl₃, 2.44 mg; in flowing air (100 ml min⁻¹), heating rate 10° C min⁻¹.

water. This crystallization water is of course still bound to iron(III) chloride and is released in two characteristic well-defined successive steps clearly present in both the DTG (Fig. 5) and DSC (Fig. 6 (d)) curves. On increasing the temperature, the differently doped polymers then degrade in a similar manner with a strongly exothermic decomposition process, which can be divided into two sub-steps, as shown in Table 2. As can be observed, in contrast to the undoped polymers and to PPA doped with iodine, all practically anhydrous and free from solvent residue, the PPA doped with hexahydrated iron(III) chloride still retains part of the crystallization water of the iron salt and a small part of the solvent or moisture residual from the

Conductivity values and ⁹ iodine, or of Fe ³⁺ , and cr	& w/w of the solvent r ystallization water by T	esidue by TG analysis G analysis of doped p	s for the exami solymers. In all	ned doped and undo these TG analyses S	oped polymers and 9 SD% = 3	& w/w content of
Time of polymer drying	Determined conductivity σ (ohm ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹)	% w/w of the solvent residue from synthesis,	% w/w of iodine content	% w/w of the solvent and moisture resi-	% w/w of crystallization water	% w/w of Fe ³⁺ by TG
	(SD% = 2)	found by TG analysis	by TG analysis	due by TG analysis	content by TG analysis	analysis
Polybenzylpropargyl- amine dried for 10 h in a vacuum drier	1.5×10 ⁻⁵	0.8				
Polyphenylacetylene dried for 10 h in a vacuum drier	1.5×10^{-14}	0.6				
Polyphenylacetylene, doped with iodine and dried for 10 h in a vacuum drier	1.3×10 ⁻⁴	2.8				
Polyphenylacetylene, doped with iodine, dried for 10 h in a vacuum drier and for						
Kotavator under vacuum	9.1×10^{-5}	0.6	58.6			

TABLE 3

Polyphenylacetylene, Joped with FeCl ₃ Ind dried for 10 h in a				
/acuum drier	2.2×10^{-6}	T.T		
Polyphenylacetylene, loped with FeCl ₃ and lried for 20 h in a				
acuum drier/	6.9×10^{-7}	4,4		
³ olyphenylacetylene, loped with FeCl ₃ ,				
lried for 10 h in a				
acuum drier and for				
h at 50°C, in a				
cotavator under				
acuum	1.8×10^{-7}	1.5	10.7	6.2

Species determined	Linearity range ^b (mol l ⁻¹)	Slope $(\Delta mV/\Delta \log c)$	Correlation coefficient
$\overline{\mathbf{H}^+}$	$1.2 \times 10^{-3} - 2.1 \times 10^{-2}$	+ 49.5	+ 0.9941
NH_4^+	$1.0 \times 10^{-3} - 5.1 \times 10^{-2}$	+ 48.9	+0.9937
K ⁺	$1.0 \times 10^{-3} - 5.1 \times 10^{-2}$	+ 29.8	+ 0.9991
Ba ²⁺	$1.0 \times 10^{-3} - 1.0 \times 10^{-2}$	+15.4	+ 0.9958
Al ³⁺	$1.0 \times 10^{-3} - 2.0 \times 10^{-2}$	+ 20.5	+ 0.9955
Urea	$1.5 \times 10^{-3} - 9.8 \times 10^{-2}$	+ 38.5	+ 0.9983

Analytical characterization of the ion-selective electrode, with PVC membrane containing 5% polyphenylacetylene doped with iodine, and of the enzyme sensor for urea ^a

^a Response time is <10 s, for the ISE and about 1 min for the enzyme sensor.

^b Precision in the linearity range (as "pooled SD" % is < 2.1%.

doping procedure. It has not been possible to obtain the compound in a more anhydrous form, despite much effort. If it is heated to about $150 \,^{\circ}$ C, a partial loss of crystallization water and solvent residue is observed, but the product obtained is drastically altered: its TG curve is very different from that in Fig. 5; for instance, the thermal degradation begins at a temperature a little higher than room temperature, rather than at $210 \,^{\circ}$ C as in the case of the vacuum dried compound (Fig. 1), which has clear stoichiometrically defined characteristics, reproducible in other preparations. Attempts to prepare the product without crystallization water, by using anhydrous iron(III) chloride rather than the hexahydrate, were not successful. In this case the iron salt is practically insoluble in tetrahydrofuran and the doping process in the heterogeneous phase resulted in a product with characteristics very similar to those of the compound obtained after heating to about $150 \,^{\circ}$ C, as discussed above.

Table 3 lists the different conductivity values for all the polymers examined as well as the corresponding solvent trace values as found by TG. It

TABLE 5

Species determined	Linearity range ^b $(mol l^{-1})$	Slope $(\Delta mV/\Delta \log c)$	Correlation coefficient
Cl-	$2.0 \times 10^{-4} - 2.6 \times 10^{-2}$	- 30.6	- 0.9997
OH-	$8.0 \times 10^{-4} - 2.6 \times 10^{-2}$	- 44.0	-0.9981
NO_3^-	$2.0 \times 10^{-4} - 2.5 \times 10^{-2}$	-16.7	- 0.9938
SO_4^{2-}	$2.0 \times 10^{-5} - 2.6 \times 10^{-2}$	-14.3	- 0.9969
PO_4^{3-}	$5.0 \times 10^{-5} - 2.6 \times 10^{-2}$	-33.3	-0.9822
Urea	$5.0 \times 10^{-5} - 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$	- 47.3	-0.9963

Analytical characterization of the ion-selective electrode with PVC membrane containing 5% polybenzylpropargylamine, and of the enzyme sensor for urea a

^a Response time is <10 s for the ISE and about 1 min for the enzyme sensor.

^b Precision in the linearity range (as "pooled SD" %) is < 2.4%.

TABLE 4

can be observed that the conductivity values of the two undoped polymers are markedly different: PBPA has a conductivity value of about $10^{-5} \Omega^{-1}$ cm⁻¹, so that it can be considered a quasi-conductor, and also in the undoped form, whereas PPA has much lower conductivity values (about $10^{-14} \Omega^{-1}$ cm⁻¹), so that, in the undoped form, this polymer is essentially an insulating compound, with a behaviour similar to that observed in a previous paper [1] for anhydrous polydiethynylbenzene. It is very interesting to observe that the TG data demonstrate the completely anhydrous state of these undoped polymers and the almost total absence of solvent residue ($\leq 1\%$) and also confirms the conductivity measurement results recently performed by our research group [12], but for which some uncertainties still existed, it not being valid to completely exclude the possibility that the large conductivity differences between the two polymers was attributable to differences in the solvent residue content of the two polymers.

Table 3 reveals that TG analysis can provide further information relevant to the determination of the real conductivity values of the polymers, in the case of PPA doped with iodine or iron(III) chloride. It can be observed, as on previous occasions [1,2], that the conductivity value decreases with the solvent residue percentage in the doped polymer. It can be also observed that PPA doped with iodine, similarly to undoped polymers, can be obtained in a completely anhydrous form practically free from any solvent residue $(\leq 1\%)$, so that its real conductivity can be evaluated and was found to be about $10^{-5} \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. It was thus confirmed that doping with iodine gives these polymers a higher degree of conductivity, as previously observed for doped poly-*para*-diethynylbenzene [2].

In contrast, PPA doped with FeCl₃ could not be obtained in a completely anhydrous form nor free from solvent residue, as discussed above. In this case, however, crystallization water molecules are coordinated by iron(III) ions and therefore take part in the stoichiometry of the compound, the conductivity of which (of the order of $10^{-7} \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) can essentially vary as a function of the solvent or of the moisture traces still present in its composition, which can be minimized ($\leq 1.5\%$), by gentle thermal (50–60°C) treatments with vacuum dehydration, but not completely eliminated.

Lastly Tables 4 and 5 report the main electrochemical results obtained by ion selective or enzymatic sensors with a PVC membrane containing 5% of the best of the conducting polymers, on the basis of the results obtained: PPA doped with iodine, or undoped PBPA, which, even in the anhydrous state, were the most conducting of the polymers studied (conductivity of the order of $10^{-5} \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). It can be observed that the ISE with iodine-doped PPA (Table 4) has a cationic response, with the highest sensitivity to H⁺ and NH₄⁺ (slope about +49 mV for log concentration decade). The urea sensor is an NH₄⁺-sensing ISE coupled with a biological mediator (urease) which is free in solution; its slope is +38.5 mV for log concentration decade. The ISE with undoped PBPA in its membrane has an anionic response, with the highest sensitivity to the OH⁻ anion (slope -44 mV for log concentration decade). The urea sensor is a combination of an anionic ISE with the biological mediator urease which is immobilized on the PVC membrane; its slope is -47.3 mV for log concentration decade. The other characteristics of these sensors, reported in Tables 4 and 5, such as the response time, the linearity range and the reproducibility, are typical of ion-selective [4,9,10] and enzyme [11,13] electrodes.

CONCLUSIONS

The thermoanalysis (TG, DSC) of the polymers examined led to information concerning (1) the thermal stability, the main decomposition processes and the eventual further oxidative polymerization processes which can take place; (2) the solvent or moisture residue contents; (3) the real conductivity characteristics (Table 3); (4) the real content of dopant (iodine or iron(III)), or of crystallization water (Table 5) and (5) the choices which have to be made in order to prepare a polymeric membrane for use as the essential part of an ISE.

With respect to the experimental ISEs, with PVC, sebacate and conducting-polymer-based membranes, it can be observed that the response changes when the conducting polymer is changed, with anionic or cationic values of the slope, and that, in the cases considered, whilst the enzyme can be immobilized in the membrane when PBPA is used as the conducting polymer, when PPA doped with iodine is used, immobilization is prevented and inactivation of the enzyme results because of the denaturing actions of the dopant [11].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the National Research Council (CNR) of Italy.

The authors thank Prof. A. Furlani for the polymer preparation procedures and Dr. C. Morgia for suggestions on the conductivity measurements.

REFERENCES

- 1 M. Tomassetti, L. Campanella, C. Morgia and G. Ortaggi, Thermochim. Acta, 141 (1989) 27.
- 2 L. Campanella, M. Tomassetti and F. Mazzei, Thermochim. Acta, 141 (1989) 41.
- 3 L. Campanella, A.M. Salvi, M.P. Sammartino and M. Tomassetti, Chim. Ind. (Milan), 68 (1986) 71.

- 4 L. Campanella, M. Tomassetti, F. Mazzei and R. Sbrilli, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal., 16 (1989) 235.
- 5 L. D'Ilario, P. Benni, E. Cernia, M. Lupoli, E. Mantovani, A. Schwarz and A. Zanobi, Eur. Polym. J., 23 (1987) 213.
- 6 A. Furlani, P. Bicev, M.V. Russo and M. Fiorentino, Gazz. Chim. Ital., 107 (1977) 373.
- 7 A. Furlani, C. Napoletano, M.V. Russo, A. Camus and N. Marsich, J. Polym. Sci., in press.
- 8 A. Furlani, R. Paolesse, M.V. Russo and N. Marsich, Ital. Pat. No. 48719 A85, 25 October 1985.
- 9 L. Campanella, F. Mazzei, M. Tomassetti and R. Sbrilli, Analyst. 113 (1988) 325.
- 10 L. Campanella, F. Mazzei, R. Sbrilli and M. Tomassetti, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal., 6 (1988) 299.
- 11 L. Campanella, F. Mazzei, C. Morgia, M.P. Sammartino, M. Tomassetti, V. Baroncelli, M. Battilotti, C. Colapicchioni, I. Giannini and F. Porcelli, Analusis, 16 (Suppl. No. 9-10) (1988) 120.
- 12 L. Campanella, A.M. Salvi and C. Morgia, Chim. Oggi, May (1988) 65.
- 13 L. Campanella, M. Tomassetti and M.P. Sammartino, Analyst. 113 (1988) 77.